UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

CHAIRMAN: Judge John G. Heyburn II United States District Court Western District of Kentucky MEMBERS: Judge D. Lowell Jensen United States District Court Northern District of California

Judge J. Frederick Motz United States District Court District of Maryland

Judge Robert L. Miller, Jr. United States District Court Northern District of Indiana Judge Kathryn H. Vratil United States District Court District of Kansas

Judge David R. Hansen United States Court of Appeals Eighth Circuit

Judge Anthony J. Scirica United States Court of Appeals Third Circuit DIRECT REPLY TO:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel One Columbus Circle, NE Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building Room G-255, North Lobby Washington, D.C. 20002

Telephone: |202| 502-2800 Fax: |202| 502-2888 http://www.jpml.uscourts.gov

May 12, 2008

Mary E. D'Andrea, Clerk P.O. Box 983 Harrisburg, PA 17108-0983

Re: MDL No. 1935 -- IN RE: Chocolate Confectionary Antitrust Litigation

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

MAY 1 4 2008

(See Attached CTO-2)

MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK

Dear Ms. D'Andrea:

I am enclosing a certified copy and one additional copy of a conditional transfer order filed by the Panel in the above-captioned matter on <u>April 24, 2008</u>. As stipulated in Rule 7.4(a) of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), transmittal of the order has been stayed 15 days to give any party an opportunity to oppose the transfer. The 15-day period has now elapsed, no opposition was received, and the order is directed to you for filing.

The Panel's governing statute, 28 U.S.C. §1407, requires that the transferee clerk "...transmit a certified copy of the Panel's order to transfer to the clerk of the district court from which the action is being transferred."

A list of involved counsel is attached.

Very truly,

W.S.

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

Mecca S Thompson

Docket Specialist

Attachment

cc: Transferee Judge:

Judge Christopher C. Conner (See Attached List of Judges) (See Attached List of Clerks)

Transferor Judges: Transferor Clerks:

JPML Form 36

Case 1:08-cv-00935-CCC Document 5 Filed 05/27/08 Page 2 of 3 Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stav is lifted. MAY 1 2 2008 UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL CLERK'S OFFICE MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION IN RE: CHOCOLATE CONFECTIONARY ANTITRUST LITIGATION

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

APR 2 4 2008

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

MDL No. 1935

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-2)

On April 7, 2008, the Panel transferred 15 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See F.Supp.2d (J.P.M.L. 2008). With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Christopher C. Conner.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Middle District of Pennsylvania and assigned to Judge Conner.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Middle District of Pennsylvania for the reasons stated in the order of April 7, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Christopher C. Conner.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Lüthi of the Panel

IN RE: CHOCOLATE CONFECTIONARY ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 1935

SCHEDULE CTO-2 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A. #

CASE CAPTION

CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN

CAS 3 08-594

Karin Jacobs v. The Hershey Co., et al.

IOWA SOUTHERN

IAS 4 08-121

James Bell v. The Hershey Co., et al. Opposed 5/9/08

ILLINOIS NORTHERN

ILN 1 08-1689

Cheryl Currie, et al. v. The Hershey Co., et al.

LOUISIANA EASTERN

LAE 2 08-1217

Long Leaf Vending, Inc. v. The Hershey Co., et al.

NEW JERSEY

NJ 2 08-1308

Carmen Pellitteri v. The Hershey Co., et al.

NJ 2 08-1324

T. Levy Associates, etc. v. The Hershey Co., et al.

NJ 2 08-1327

Dacia Lower v. The Hershey Co., et al.

NEW YORK SOUTHERN

NYS 1 08-3045

Food Lion, LLC, et al. v. Cadbury Adams Canada, Inc., et al.

PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN

PAE 2 08-1408

George Patterson v. The Hershey Co., et al.